

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/609,394	VALERO MORENO, JAVLER
	Examiner Robert E. Pezzuto	Art Unit 3714

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert E. Pezzuto/Tramar Harper.

(3) Rogue Grau.

(2) Karim Andreu.

(4) Daniel Vivarelli.

Date of Interview: 03 October 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Power point of invention.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tillery et al.'155.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed that the purposed amendment as submitted with the inclusion of a dart claim/field of endeavor appears to distinguish over art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



ROBERT E. PEZZUTO
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required